List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39
Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

The Proposed Amendment
Accordingly, under the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the FAA proposes to amend 14 CFR part 39 as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

§ 39.13 [Amended]

□ 2. The FAA amends § 39.13 by adding the following new airworthiness directive (AD):


(a) Comments Due Date
We must receive comments by August 17, 2015.

(b) Affected ADs
None.

(c) Applicability
This AD applies to all General Electric Company (GE) GENx–1B model turbofan engines with oil filler cap, part number (P/N) 2349M62G01, installed, that does not contain any of the following markings after the P/N on the oil filler cap: “P/M BALL PP”, or “RW”, or “79–0022”.

(d) Unsafe Condition
This AD was prompted by reports of GENx–1B engine oil loss. We are issuing this AD to prevent loss of engine oil, which could lead to failure of one or more engines, loss of thrust control, and damage to the airplane.

(e) Compliance
Comply with this AD within the compliance times specified, unless already done.

1. Within 360 cycles in service after the effective date of this AD, remove the ball valve, P/N 2349M62G01, from affected oil filler cap and replace with a part eligible for installation.

2. Reserved.

(f) Alternative Methods of Compliance (AMOCs)
The Manager, Engine Certification Office, FAA, may approve AMOCs to this AD. Use the procedures found in 14 CFR 39.19 to make your request. You may email your request to: ANE-AD-AMOC@faa.gov.

(g) Related Information

2. GE GENx–1B SB No. 79–0022, Revision 1, dated May 13, 2015 can be obtained from GE using the contact information in paragraph (g)(3) of this proposed AD.

3. For service information identified in this proposed AD, contact General Electric Company, GE Aviation, Room 285, 1 Neumann Way, Cincinnati, OH 45215; phone: 513–552–3272; email: geae.aoc@ge.com.

4. You may view this service information at the FAA, Engine & Propeller Directorate, 12 New England Executive Park, Burlington, MA. For information on the availability of this material at the FAA, call 781–238–7125.

Issued in Burlington, Massachusetts, on June 4, 2015.
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Commerce Control List: Addition of Items Determined to No Longer Warrant Control Under United States Munitions Lists Category XIV (Toxicological Agents) or Category XVIII (Directed Energy Weapons)

AGENCY: Bureau of Industry and Security, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: This proposed rule describes how articles the President determines no longer warrant control under Category XIV (Toxicological Agents, Including Chemical Agents, Biological Agents, and Associated Equipment) or Category XVIII (Directed Energy Weapons) of the United States Munitions List (USML) would be controlled under the Commerce Control List (CCL). The affected Category XIV articles consist primarily of dissemination, detection and protection “equipment” and related articles and would be controlled under new Export Control Classification Numbers (ECCNs) 1A607, 1B607, 1C607, 1D607, and 1E607, as proposed by this rule. The affected Category XVIII articles consist primarily of tooling, production “equipment,” test and evaluation “equipment,” test models and related articles and would be controlled under new ECCNs 6B619, 6D619 and 6E619, as proposed by this rule.

This rule is one in a series of proposed rules describing how various types of articles that the President determines no longer warrant control on the USML, as part of the Administration’s Export Control Reform Initiative, would be controlled on the CCL in accordance with the requirements of the Export Administration Regulations (EAR).

This proposed rule is being published by the Bureau of Industry and Security (BIS) in conjunction with a proposed rule from the Department of State, Directorate of Defense Trade Controls, which would amend the list of articles controlled by USML Categories XIV and XVIII. The citations in this BIS proposed rule to USML Categories XIV and XVIII reflect the proposed amendments contained in the Department of State’s rule. The revisions proposed by BIS in this rule are part of Commerce’s retrospective regulatory review plan under Executive Order 13563 completed in August 2011.

DATES: Comments must be received by August 17, 2015.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments by any of the following methods:


• By email directly to publiccomments@bis.doc.gov. Include RIN 0694–AF52 in the subject line.

• By mail or delivery to Regulatory Policy Division, Bureau of Industry and Security, U.S. Department of Commerce, Room 2099B, 14th Street and Pennsylvania Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230. Refer to RIN 0694–AF52.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For questions regarding dissemination, detection and protection “equipment” and related articles that would be controlled under new ECCNs 1A607, 1B607, 1C607, 1D607, and 1E607, contact Richard P. Duncan, Ph.D., Director, Chemical and Biological Controls Division, Office of Nonproliferation and Treaty Compliance, Bureau of Industry and Security, telephone: (202) 482–3343, email: Richard.Duncan@bis.doc.gov.

For questions regarding tooling, production “equipment,” test and evaluation “equipment” and test models that would be controlled under new ECCNs 6B619, 6D619 and 6E619, contact Mark Jaso, Sensors and Aviation Division, Office of National Security & Technology Transfer Controls, Bureau of Industry and Security, telephone: (202)
This proposed rule is published by the Bureau of Industry and Security (BIS) as part of the Administration’s Export Control Reform (ECR) Initiative, the object of which is to protect and enhance U.S. national security interests. The implementation of the ECR includes amendment of the International Traffic in Arms Regulations (ITAR) and its U.S. Munitions List (USML), so that they control only those items that provide the United States with a critical military or intelligence advantage or otherwise warrant such controls, and amendment of the Export Administration Regulations (EAR) to control military items that do not warrant USML controls. This series of amendments to the ITAR and the EAR will reform the U.S. export control system to enhance our national security by: (i) improving the interoperability of U.S. military forces with allied countries; (ii) strengthening the U.S. industrial base by, among other things, reducing incentives for foreign manufacturers to design out and avoid U.S.-origin content and services; and (iii) allowing export control officials to focus government resources on transactions that pose greater national security, foreign policy, or proliferation concerns than those involving our NATO allies and other multi-regime partners.

Following the structure set forth in the final rule titled “Revisions to the Export Administration Regulations: Initial Implementation of Export Control Reform” (78 FR 22660, April 16, 2013) (hereinafter the “April 16 (initial implementation) rule”), this proposed rule describes BIS’s proposal for controlling under the EAR’s CCL certain dissemination, detection and protection “equipment” and related articles currently controlled under USML Category XIV in the ITAR and certain tooling, production “equipment,” test and evaluation “equipment,” test models and related articles currently controlled under USML Category XVIII of the ITAR.

In the April 16 (initial implementation) rule, BIS created a series of new ECCNs to control items that would be removed from the USML and similar items from the Wassenaar Arrangement on Export Controls for Conventional Arms and Dual Use Goods and Technologies Munitions List (Wassenaar Arrangement Munitions List or WAML) that were already controlled elsewhere on the CCL. That final rule referred to this series of new ECCNs as the “600 series.” Because the third character in each of these new ECCNs is the number “6,” the first two characters of the “600 series” ECCNs serve the same function as any other ECCN as described in §738.2 of the EAR. The first character is a number, within the range of 0 through 9, that identifies the Category on the CCL in which the ECCN is located. The second character is a letter, within the range of A through E, that identifies the product group in a CCL Category. As indicated above, the third character in the “600 series” ECCNs is the number “6,” which distinguishes the items controlled under this series of ECCNs from items identified under other ECCNs on the CCL. With few exceptions, the final two characters identify the WAML category that covers items that are the same or similar to items in a particular “600 series” ECCN.

Pursuant to section 38(f) of the Arms Export Control Act (AECA), the President is obligated to review the USML “to determine what items, if any, no longer warrant export controls under” the AECA. The President must report the results of the review to Congress and wait 30 days before removing any such items from the USML. The report must “describe the nature of any controls to be imposed on that item under any other provision of law.” 22 U.S.C. 2778(f)(1).

The changes proposed in this rule and the State Department’s companion rule to Categories XIV and XVIII of the USML are based on a review of these USML Categories by the Defense Department, which worked with the Departments of State and Commerce in preparing the proposed amendments. The review focused on identifying the types of articles that are now controlled by USML Category XIV or Category XVIII that are either: (i) inherently military and otherwise warrant control on the USML; or (ii) of a type common to civil applications, possessing parameters or characteristics that provide a critical military or intelligence advantage to the United States, and are almost exclusively available from the United States. If an article was found to satisfy either or both of these criteria, then, generally, it is identified in one of the new “600 series” ECCNs proposed by this rule. All references in this rule to the USML in the USML that are to the list of defense articles that are controlled for purposes of export, temporary import, or brokering pursuant to the ITAR, and not to the list of defense articles on the United States Munitions Import List (USMIL) that are controlled by the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives (ATF) for purposes of permanent import under its regulations at 27 CFR part 447.

Pursuant to section 38(a)(1) of the AECA, all defense articles controlled for export or import, or that are subject to brokering controls, are part of the “USML” under the AECA. For the sake of clarity, references to the USMIL are to the list of defense articles controlled by ATF for purposes of permanent import. All defense articles described in the USMIL or the USML are subject to the brokering controls administered by the U.S. Department of State in part 129 of the ITAR. The transfer of defense articles from the ITAR’s USML to the EAR’s CCL, for purposes of export controls, does not affect the list of defense articles that are controlled on the USMIL under the AECA for purposes of permanent import or brokering controls.

On January 18, 2011, the President issued Executive Order 13563, affirming general principles of regulation and directing government agencies to conduct retrospective reviews of existing regulations. The revisions proposed in this rule are part of Commerce’s retrospective regulatory review plan under Executive Order 13563. Commerce’s full plan, completed in August 2011, can be accessed at: http://open.commerce.gov/news/2011/08/23/commerce-plan-retrospective-analysis-existing-rules.

Changes Proposed by This Rule to Controls on Certain Dissemination, Detection and Protection “Equipment” and Related Items Currently Controlled Under USML Category XIV

This proposed rule would create five new “600 series” ECCNs in CCL Category 1 (ECCNs 1A607, 1B607, 1C607, 1D607, and 1E607) that would clarify the EAR controls that apply to certain dissemination, detection and protection “equipment” and related items the President determines no longer warrant control under USML Category XIV. Terms such as “part,” “component,” “accessories,” “attachments,” and “specially designed” are applied in the same manner in this rule as those terms are defined in Section 772.1 of the EAR. In addition, to assist exporters in determining the control status of their items, a “Specially Designed” Decision Tool and a CCL Order of Review Decision Tool are available on the BIS.
New ECCN 1A607: Military dissemination “equipment” for riot control agents, military detection and protection “equipment” for toxicological agents (including chemical, biological, and riot control agents), and related commodities. In proposed ECCN 1A607, paragraphs .a through .d, paragraph .i, and paragraphs .j through .w would be reserved. Paragraph .e of ECCN 1A607 would control “equipment” “specially designed” for military use and for the dissemination of any of the riot control agents controlled in ECCN 1C607.a. Paragraph .f of ECCN 1A607 would control protection “equipment” “specially designed” for military use and for defense against either materials controlled by USML Category XIV(a) or (b) or any of the riot control agents in new ECCN 1C607.a. Paragraph .g of ECCN 1A607 would control decontamination “equipment” “specially designed” for military use and for the decontamination of objects contaminated with materials controlled by USML Category XIV(f) that is “specially designed” for military use and for the decontamination of chemical warfare agents. Proposed ECCN 1C607.a would control specified tear gases and riot control agents. Paragraph .b of ECCN 1C607 would control “biopolymers” not controlled by USML Category XIV(g) that are “specially designed” or processed for the detection or identification of chemical warfare (CW) agents specified by USML Category XIV(a) and the cultures of specific cells used to produce them. Paragraph .c would control specified “biocatalysts” and biological systems that are not controlled by USML Category XIV(g) and are “specially designed” for the decontamination or degradation of CW agents specified by USML Category XIV(a). Paragraph .d would control chemical mixtures not controlled by USML Category XIV(f) that are “specially designed” for military use for the decontamination of objects contaminated with materials specified by USML Category XIV(a) or (b). New ECCN 1D607: “Software” “specially designed” for the “development,” “production,” or maintenance of items controlled by 1A607, 1B607 or 1C607. Paragraph .b of ECCN 1D607 would be reserved.

Changes Proposed by This Rule to Controls on Certain Tooling, Production “Equipment,” Tooling and Evaluation “Equipment” and Test Models Currently Controlled Under USML Category XVIII

This rule proposes to create three new “600 series” ECCNs in CCL Category 6 (ECCNs 6B619, 6D619 and 6E619) that would clarify the EAR controls that apply to certain tooling, production “equipment,” test and evaluation “equipment,” test models and related articles for Directed Energy Weapons (DEWs) that the President determines no longer warrant control under USML Category XVIII. Terms such as “part,” “component,” “accessories,” “attachments,” and “specially designed” are applied in the same manner in this rule as those terms are defined in Section 772.1 of the EAR. In addition, to assist exporters in determining the control status of their items, a “Specially Designed” Decision Tool and a CCL Order of Review Decision Tool are available on the BIS Web site at: http://www.bis.doc.gov/index.php/decision-tree-tools.

New ECCN 6B619: Test, inspection and production “equipment,” and related commodities, “specially designed” for the “development,” “production,” repair, overhaul, or refurbishing of commodities enumerated or otherwise described in USML Category XVIII.

Proposed ECCN 6B619.a would control tooling, templates, jigs, mandrels, molds, dies, fixtures, alignment mechanisms, and test “equipment” not enumerated or otherwise described in USML Category XVIII and not elsewhere specified on the USML that are “specially designed” for the “development,” “production,” repair, overhaul, or refurbishing of commodities controlled by ECCN 1A607, 1B607, 1C607, or 1D607. Proposed ECCN 6B619.a would control “software” “specially designed” for the “development,” “production,” “repair,” overhaul, or refurbishing of items controlled by ECCN 1A607, 1B607, 1C607, or 1D607. Paragraph .b of ECCN 1E607 would be reserved.

New ECCN 1E607: “Technology” “required” for the “development,” “production,” operation, installation, maintenance, repair, overhaul, or refurbishing of items controlled by ECCN 1A607, 1B607, 1C607, or 1D607. Proposed ECCN 1E607.a would control “technology” “required” for the “development,” “production,” operation, installation, maintenance, repair, overhaul, or refurbishing of items controlled by ECCN 1A607, 1B607, 1C607, or 1D607. Paragraph .b of ECCN 1E607 would be reserved.

New ECCN 1D607: “Technology” “required” for the “development,” “production,” operation, installation, maintenance, repair, overhaul, or refurbishing of items controlled by ECCN 1A607, 1B607, 1C607, or 1D607. Proposed ECCN 1D607.a would control “software” “specially designed” for the “development,” “production,” “repair,” overhaul, or refurbishing of items controlled by ECCN 1A607, 1B607, 1C607, or 1D607. Paragraph .b of ECCN 1D607 would be reserved.
non-lethal directed energy weapons, such as active denial systems) and are similar to commodities that are in operation in a number of other countries, some of which are not allies of the United States or members of multinational export control regimes. Research and development is currently underway to determine the possible uses of such commodities (e.g., to protect the Earth from asteroids, or for perimeter security and crowd control). Possession of such commodities does not confer a significant military advantage on the United States and, therefore, the inclusion of such commodities on the CCL would be inappropriate.

Paragraphs .b through .w of ECCN 6B619 would be reserved. Paragraph .x would control “parts,” “components,” “accessories,” and “attachments” “specially designed” for a commodity subject to control under paragraph .a of this ECCN and not enumerated or otherwise described in USML Category XVIII and not elsewhere specified on the USML.

New ECCN 6D619: “Software” “specially designed” for the “development,” “production,” operation or maintenance of commodities controlled by 6B619. Proposed ECCN 6D619 would control “software” “specially designed” for the “development,” “production,” operation or maintenance of commodities controlled by ECCN 6B619. Inclusion of this “software” on the CCL would be appropriate, because it would be limited to “software” “specially designed” for ECCN 6B619 commodities and would not include any “software” for items specifically enumerated or otherwise described on the USML.

New ECCN 6E619: “Technology” “required” for the “development,” “production,” operation, installation, maintenance, repair, overhaul or refurbishing of commodities controlled by 6B619 or “software” controlled by 6D619.

Proposed ECCN 6E619 would control “technology” “required” for the “development,” “production,” operation, installation, maintenance, repair, overhaul or refurbishing of commodities controlled by ECCN 6B619, or “software” controlled by 6D619. Inclusion of this “technology” on the CCL would be appropriate, because it would be limited to “technology” “required” for ECCN 6B619 commodities and would not include any “technology” for items specifically enumerated or otherwise described on the USML.

**Applicable Controls for the New “600 Series” ECCNs Proposed by This Rule.**

Pursuant to the framework established in the April 16 (initial implementation) rule, detection and protection “equipment” and related commodities classified under ECCN 1A607; related test, inspection and production “equipment” classified under ECCN 1B607; tear gases, riot control agents and related commodities classified under ECCN 1C607 (except for items listed in ECCN 1C607.a.10, .a11, .a12, or .a14, all of which are specifically excluded from WAML Category 7 by Note 1 thereto); related “software” classified under ECCN 1D607 (except “software” for items listed in ECCN 1C607.a.10, .a11, .a12, or .a14); and related “technology” classified under ECCN 1E607 (except “technology” for items listed in ECCN 1C607.a.10, .a11, .a12, or .a14 and 1D607 “software” therefor) would be subject to the licensing policies that apply to items controlled for national security (NS) reasons, as described in §742.4(b)(1)—specifically, NS Column 1 controls. The same level of NS controls and licensing policies also would apply to the items that would be controlled under the three new ECCNs (i.e., test, inspection, and production “equipment” classified under ECCN 6B619; related “software” classified under ECCN 6D619; and related “technology” classified under ECCN 6E619) that this rule proposes to add to Category 6 of the CCL. In addition, all of the items that would be controlled under the new ECCNs proposed by this rule would be subject to the regional stability (RS) licensing policies set forth in §742.6(a)(1), i.e., RS Column 1, as well as antiterrorism (AT Column 1) and United Nations (UN) controls.

Also, in accordance with §§742.4(b)(1) and 742.6(b)(1) of the EAR, exports and reexports of “600 series” items controlled for NS or RS reasons will be reviewed consistent with United States arms embargo policies in §126.1 of the ITAR, if destined to a country listed in Country Group D:5 of Supplement No. 1 to part 740 of the EAR. Items controlled under the new “600 series” ECCNs proposed in this rule would be eligible for de minimis treatment under the EAR, provided that the foreign-made items into which they are incorporated are not destined for a country listed in Country Group D:5. In contrast, the AECA does not permit the ITAR to have a de minimis treatment for USML-listed items, regardless of the significance or insignificance of the U.S.-origin content or the percentage of U.S.-origin content in the foreign-made item (i.e., USML-listed items remain subject to the ITAR when they are incorporated abroad into a foreign-made item, regardless of either of these factors).

**Use of License Exceptions**

The April 16 (initial implementation) rule imposed certain restrictions on the use of license exceptions for items controlled under “600 series” ECCNs on member countries of items the President determines no longer warrant control on the USML. This greater flexibility would be in the form of: application of the EAR’s de minimis threshold principle for items constituting less than a de minimis amount of controlled U.S.-origin content in foreign made items; availability of license exceptions, particularly License Exceptions “Servicing and Replacement of Parts and Equipment” (RPL) and “Strategic Trade Authorization” (STA); elimination of the requirements for manufacturing license agreements and technical assistance agreements in connection with exports of technology; and a reduction in, or elimination of, exporter and manufacturer registration requirements and associated registration fees. Some of these specific effects are discussed in more detail below.

**De minimis**

The April 16 (initial implementation) rule imposed certain unique de minimis requirements on items controlled under the new “600 series” ECCNs. Section 734.3 of the EAR provides, inter alia, that, under certain conditions, items made outside the United States that incorporate items subject to the EAR are not subject to the EAR if they do not exceed a “de minimis” percentage of controlled U.S. origin content. Under Section 734.4 of the EAR, as amended by the April 16 (initial implementation) rule, there is no eligibility for de minimis treatment for a foreign-made item that incorporates U.S.-origin “600 series” items when the foreign-made item is destined for a country that is subject to a U.S. arms embargo, i.e., a country listed in Country Group D:5 of Supplement No. 1 to part 740 of the EAR. Items controlled under the new “600 series” ECCNs proposed in this rule would be eligible for de minimis treatment under the EAR, provided that the foreign-made items into which they are incorporated are not destined for a country listed in Country Group D:5. In contrast, the AECA does not permit the ITAR to have a de minimis treatment for USML-listed items, regardless of the significance or insignificance of the U.S.-origin content or the percentage of U.S.-origin content in the foreign-made item (i.e., USML-listed items remain subject to the ITAR when they are incorporated abroad into a foreign-made item, regardless of either of these factors).
the CCL. The general restrictions that apply to the use of license exceptions for such items are described in § 740.2(a)(13) of the EAR. The EAR provisions that describe the requirements specific to individual license exceptions contain additional restrictions on the use of license exceptions for such items.

For example, this rule proposes limited License Exception STA availability for the new “600 series” ECCNs contained herein. None of the items that would be controlled under these proposed ECCNs would be eligible for the STA “controls of lesser sensitivity” described in § 740.20(c)(2) of the EAR. Instead, STA eligibility for all such items would be limited to the destinations listed in § 740.20(c)(1) of the EAR (i.e., Country Group A:5 destinations indicated in Supplement No. 1 to part 740 of the EAR). In addition, such items must be for: (1) ultimate end-use by a person of a type specified in § 740.20(b)(ii) of the EAR (i.e., the armed forces, police, paramilitary, law enforcement, customs, correctional, fire, or a search and rescue agency of a government of one of the countries listed in Country Group A:5 or the United States Government); or (2) the “development,” “production,” operation installation, maintenance, repair, overhaul, or refurbishing of an item, in one of the countries listed in Country Group A:5 or the United States, that will ultimately be used by any such government agencies, the United States Government, or by a person in the United States. The use of License Exception STA also may be authorized, under certain circumstances described in § 740.20(b)(3)(iii)(C), where the U.S. Government has otherwise authorized the ultimate end-use under a license.

None of the items that would be controlled under the new “600 series” ECCNs proposed by this rule would be treated as “end items” for purposes of License Exception STA and, therefore, such items would not be subject to the License Exception STA eligibility request requirements in § 740.20(g) of the EAR.

Items controlled under proposed new ECCN 1B607 or 6B619 also would be eligible for License Exception LVS (limited value shipments) up to a value of $1,500, TMP (temporary exports), and RPL (servicing and replacement parts). License Exceptions TMP and RPL also would be available for items controlled under new ECCN 1A607.

BIS believes that the restrictions that would apply to the use of license exceptions for the items in the proposed new “600 series” ECCNs would represent an overall reduction from the level of restrictions that currently apply to such items on the USML. This would be particularly true with respect to exports of such items to NATO members and multiple-regime member countries.

Alignment With the Wassenaar Arrangement Munitions List

Since the beginning of ECR, the Administration has stated that the reforms will be consistent with the United States’ obligations to the multilateral export control regimes. Accordingly, the Administration will, in this proposed rule, exercise its national discretion to implement, clarify, and, to the extent feasible, align its controls with those of the regimes. In this rule, proposed ECCNs 1A607 and 1C607 would implement, to the extent possible, the controls in WAML Category 7; proposed ECCNs 1B607 and 6B619 would implement, to the extent possible, the controls in WAML Category 18 for production “equipment;” proposed ECCNs 1D607 and 6D619 would implement, to the extent possible, the controls in WAML Category 21 for “software;” and proposed ECCNs 1E607 and 6E619 would implement, to the extent possible, the controls in WAML Category 22 for “technology.”

Request for Comments

BIS seeks comments on this proposed rule. BIS will consider all comments received on or before August 17, 2015. All comments (including any personally identifying information or information for which a claim of confidentiality is asserted either in those comments or their transmittal emails) will be made available for public inspection and copying. Parties who wish to comment anonymously may do so by submitting their comments in the proposed rule. BIS will consider all comments asserted either in those comments or for which a claim of confidentiality is asserted either in those comments or their transmittal emails) will be made available for public inspection and copying. Parties who wish to comment anonymously may do so by submitting their comments in the proposed rule. BIS will consider all comments asserted either in those comments or for which a claim of confidentiality is asserted either in those comments or their transmittal emails) will be made available for public inspection and copying. Parties who wish to comment anonymously may do so by submitting their comments in the proposed rule. BIS will consider all comments asserted either in those comments or for which a claim of confidentiality is asserted either in those comments or their transmittal emails) will be made available for public inspection and copying. Parties who wish to comment anonymously may do so by submitting their comments in the proposed rule. BIS will consider all comments asserted either in those comments or for which a claim of confidentiality is asserted either in those comments or their transmittal emails) will be made available for public inspection and copying. Parties who wish to comment anonymously may do so by submitting their comments in the proposed rule. BIS will consider all comments asserted either in those comments or for which a claim of confidentiality is asserted either in those comments or their transmittal emails) will be made available for public inspection and copying. Parties who wish to comment anonymously may do so by submitting their comments in the proposed rule. BIS will consider all comments asserted either in those comments or for which a claim of confidentiality is asserted either in those comments or their transmittal emails) will be made available for public inspection and copying. Parties who wish to comment anonymously may do so by submitting their comments in the proposed rule. BIS will consider all comments asserted either in those comments or for which a claim of confidentiality is asserted either in those comments or their transmittal emails) will be made available for public inspection and copying. Parties who wish to comment anonymously may do so by submitting their comments in the proposed rule. BIS will consider all comments asserted either in those comments or for which a claim of confidentiality is asserted either in those comments or their transmittal emails) will be made available for public inspection and copying. Parties who wish to comment anonymously may do so by submitting their comments in the proposed rule. BIS will consider all comments asserted either in those comments or for which a claim of confidentiality is asserted either in those comments or their transmittal emails) will be made available for public inspection and copying. Parties who wish to comment anonymously may do so by submitting their comments in the proposed rule. BIS will consider all comments asserted either in those comments or for which a claim of confidentiality is asserted either in those comments or their transmittal emails) will be made available for public inspection and copying. Parties who wish to comment anonymously may do so by submitting their comments in the proposed rule. BIS will consider all comments asserted either in those comments or for which a claim of confidentiality is asserted either in those comments or their transmittal emails) will be made available for public inspection and copying. Parties who wish to comment anonymously may do so by submitting their comments in the proposed rule. BIS will consider all comments asserted either in those comments or for which a claim of confidentiality is asserted either in those comments or their transmittal emails) will be made available for public inspection and copying. Parties who wish to comment anonymously may do so by submitting their comments in the proposed rule. BIS will consider all comments asserted either in those comments or for which a claim of confidentiality is asserted either in those comments or their transmittal emails) will be made available for public inspection and copying. Parties who wish to comment anonymously may do so by submitting their comments in the proposed rule. BIS will consider all comments asserted either in those comments or for which a claim of confidentiality is asserted either in those comments or their transmittal emails) will be made available for public inspection and copying. Parties who wish to comment anonymously may do so by submitting their comments in the proposed rule. BIS will consider all comments asserted either in those comments or for which a claim of confidentiality is asserted either in those comments or their transmittal emails) will be made available for public inspection and copying. Parties who wish to comment anonymously may do so by submitting their comments in the proposed rule. BIS will consider all comments asserted either in those comments or for which a claim of confidentiality is asserted either in those comments or their transmittal emails) will be made available for public inspection and copying. Parties who wish to comment anonymously may do so by submitting their comments in the proposed rule. BIS will consider all comments asserted either in those comments or for which a claim of confidentiality is asserted either in those comments or their transmittal emails) will be made available for public inspection and copying. Parties who wish to comment anonymously may do so by submitting their comments in the proposed rule. BIS will consider all comments asserted either in those comments or for which a claim of confidentiality is asserted either in those comments or their transmittal emails) will be made available for public inspection and copying. Parties who wish to comment anonymously may do so by submitting their comments in the proposed rule. BIS will consider all comments asserted either in those comments or for which a claim of confidentiality is asserted either in those comments or their transmittal emails) will be made available for public inspection and copying. Parties who wish to comment anonymously may do so by submitting their comments in the proposed rule. BIS will consider all comments asserted either in those comments or for which a claim of confidentiality is asserted either in those comments or their transmittal emails) will be made available for public inspection and copying. Parties who wish to comment anonymously may do so by submitting their comments in the proposed rule. BIS will consider all comments asserted either in those comments or for which a claim of confidentiality is asserted either in those comments or their transmittal emails) will be made available for public inspection and copying. Parties who wish to comment anonymously may do so by submitting their comments in the proposed rule. BIS will consider all comments asserted either in those comments or for which a claim of confidentiality is asserted either in those comments or their transmittal emails) will be made available for public inspection and copying. Parties who wish to comment anonymously may do so by submitting their comments in the proposed rule.

Rulemaking Requirements

1. Executive Orders 13563 and 12866 direct agencies to assess all costs and benefits of available regulatory alternatives and, if regulation is necessary, to select regulatory approaches that maximize net benefits (including potential economic, environmental, public health and safety effects, distribute impacts, and equity). Executive Order 13563 emphasizes the importance of quantifying both costs and benefits, of reducing costs, of harmonizing rules, and of promoting flexibility. This rule has been designated a “significant regulatory action,” although not economically significant, under section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866. Accordingly, the rule has been reviewed by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB).

2. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person is required to respond to, nor is subject to a penalty for failure to comply with, a collection of information, subject to the requirements of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.) (PRA), unless that collection of information displays a currently validOMB control number. This proposed rule would affect the following approved collections: Simplified Network Application Processing System (control number 0694–0088), which includes, among other things, license applications; License Exceptions and Exclusions (0694–0137); recordkeeping (0694–0096); export clearance (0694–0122); and the Automated Export System (0607–0152).

As stated in the proposed rule published on July 15, 2011 (76 FR 41958) (the “July 15 proposed rule”), BIS initially estimated that the combined effect of all rules to be published, adding items to the EAR that would be removed from the ITAR as part of the Administration’s Export Control Reform Initiative, would increase the number of license applications to be submitted to BIS by approximately 16,000 annually, resulting in an increase in burden hours of 5,067 (16,000 transactions at 17 minutes each) under control number 0694–0088. As the review of the USML has progressed, the interagency group has gained more specific information about the number of items that would come under BIS jurisdiction and whether those items would be eligible for export under license exception. As of June 21, 2012, BIS revised its estimate to reflect an increase in license applications of 30,000 annually, resulting in an increase in burden hours of 8,500 (30,000 transactions at 17...
minutes each) under control number 0694–0088. BIS continues to believe that its revised estimate is accurate. Notwithstanding this increase in license applications under the EAR, the net burden that U.S. export controls impose on U.S. exporters is expected to go down, as described below, as a result of the transfer of less sensitive military items to the jurisdiction of the Department of Commerce, under the EAR, and the application of the license exceptions and other provisions in the EAR that are described in this proposed rule.

As proposed by this rule, certain dissemination, detection and protection “equipment” and related articles currently controlled under USML Category XIV in the ITAR and certain tooling, production “equipment,” test and evaluation “equipment,” test models and related articles currently controlled under USML Category XVIII of the ITAR would become subject to the licensing jurisdiction of the Department of Commerce under the EAR and its CCL, and also would be eligible for certain license exceptions, including License Exception STA. For example, items controlled under proposed ECCN 1A607, 1B607, 1C607, 1D607, 1E607, 6B619, 6D619, or 6E619 would become eligible under certain provisions of License Exception STA and would not need a determination of eligibility as described in § 740.20(g) of the EAR. BIS believes that the increased use of License Exception STA resulting from the combined effect of all rules to be published would result in the EAR that would be removed from the ITAR as part of the Administration’s Export Control Reform Initiative, would increase the burden associated with control number 0694–0137 by about 23,858 hours (20,450 transactions at 1 hour and 10 minutes each).

BIS expects that this increase in burden hours under the EAR would be more than offset by a reduction in the burden hours associated with currently approved collections related to the ITAR. With few exceptions, most exports of the dissemination, detection and protection “equipment” and related articles and the tooling, production “equipment,” test and evaluation “equipment,” test models and related articles that this rule proposes to add to the CCL currently require State Department authorization, even when destined to NATO member states and other close allies. In addition, the exports of “technology” necessary to produce such items in the inventories of the United States and its NATO and other close allies currently require State Department authorization. Under the EAR, as proposed by this rule, such “technology” would become eligible for export to NATO member states and other close allies under License Exception STA, unless otherwise specifically excluded.

The anticipated reduction in burden hours would particularly impact exporters of “parts” and “components” that would no longer be subject to the ITAR, because, with few exceptions, the ITAR currently exempt from license requirements only exports to Canada. Most exports of such “parts” and “components,” even when destined to NATO and other close allies, currently require State Department authorization. Under the EAR, as proposed by this rule, a small number of low-level “parts” and “components” would not require a license to most destinations, while most other “parts” and “components” identified under the proposed new “600 series” ECCNs would be eligible for export to NATO and other close allies under License Exception STA.

Use of License Exception STA imposes a paperwork and compliance burden because, for example, exporters must furnish information about the item that is being exported to the consignee and obtain from the consignee an acknowledgement and commitment to comply with the requirements of the EAR. However, the Administration believes that complying with the requirements of STA is likely to be less burdensome than applying for licenses. For example, under License Exception STA, a single consignee statement can apply to an unlimited number of products, need not have an expiration date and need not be submitted to the government in advance for approval. Suppliers with regular customers can tailor a single statement and assurance to match their business relationship, rather than applying repeatedly for licenses with every purchase order, to supply allied and, in some cases, U.S. forces with routine replacement parts and components.

Even in situations in which a license would be required under the EAR, the burden likely will be reduced, compared to the current license requirement under the ITAR. In particular, license applications for exports of “technology” controlled by ECCN 1E607 or 6E619 are likely to be less complex and burdensome than the authorizations required to export ITAR-controlled “technology,” i.e., Manufacturing License Agreements and Technical Assistance Agreements.

This rule does not contain policies with Federalism implications as that term is defined under E.O. 13132.

4. The Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA), as amended by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (SBREFA), 5 U.S.C. 601 et seq., generally requires an agency to prepare an initial regulatory flexibility analysis (IRFA) for any rule subject to the notice and comment rulemaking requirements under the Administrative Procedure Act (5 U.S.C. 553) or any other statute, unless the agency certifies that the rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. Under section 605(b) of the RFA, however, if the head of an agency certifies that a rule will not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities, the RFA does not require the agency to prepare a regulatory flexibility analysis. Accordingly, pursuant to section 605(b), the Chief Counsel for Regulation, Department of Commerce, has certified to the Chief Counsel for Advocacy, Small Business Administration, that this proposed rule, if promulgated, will not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities.

The rationale for this certification is as follows.

**Number of Small Entities**

Although BIS does not collect data on the size of entities that apply for, and are issued, export licenses and is, therefore, unable to estimate the exact number of small entities—as defined by the Small Business Administration’s regulations implementing the RFA—BIS acknowledges that some small entities may be affected by this proposed rule.

**Economic Impact**

The amendments set forth in this rule are proposed as part of the Administration’s ECR initiative, which seeks to revise the USML to be a positive control list—one that does not use generic, catch-all control text to describe items subject to the ITAR—and to move some items that the President has determined no longer warrant control under the ITAR to control under the EAR and its CCL. Such items, along with certain military items currently identified on the CCL (most of which are identified on the WAML), will be controlled under new “600 series” ECCNs on the CCL. In addition, certain other items currently on the CCL will move from existing ECCNs to the new “600 series” ECCNs.

This rule addresses certain dissemination, detection and protection “equipment” and related articles currently enumerated or otherwise described in USML Category XIV (Toxicological Agents, Including...
Chemical Agents, Biological Agents, and Associated Equipment) and certain tooling, production “equipment,” test and evaluation “equipment,” test models and related articles currently enumerated or otherwise described in USML Category XVIII (Directed Energy Weapons). Most toxicological agents (i.e., chemical and biological agents) and associated equipment and all Directed Energy Weapons (DEWs) systems ‘‘specially designed’’ or modified for military applications, “equipment,” ‘‘specially designed’’ or modified to detect, identify or defend against such systems, and ‘‘specially designed’’ ‘‘parts,’’ ‘‘components,’’ “accessories” and “attachments” for such systems or “equipment” would remain on the USML. However, many other “parts” and “components” would become subject to the EAR (as items described in ECCN 1A607.x, 1B607.x, or 6B619.x), unless specifically enumerated or otherwise described on the USML. Many of these “parts” and “components” are more likely, than the USML articles described above, to be produced by small businesses. In addition, officials of the Department of State have informed BIS that license applications for such “parts” and “components” represent a high percentage of the license applications for USML articles reviewed by that department. Changing the jurisdictional status of certain Category XIV and Category XVIII items would reduce the burden on small entities (and other entities as well) through: (i) elimination of some licensing requirements; (ii) greater availability of license exceptions; (iii) simpler license application procedures; and (iv) reduced or eliminated registration fees.

Moreover, “parts” and “components” that are controlled under the ITAR remain under ITAR control when incorporated into foreign-made items, regardless of the significance or insignificance of the item. This discourages foreign buyers from incorporating such U.S. content. The availability of de minimis treatment under the EAR, for those items that would no longer be controlled under the ITAR, may reduce the disincentive for foreign manufacturers to purchase U.S.-origin “parts” and “components,” a development that potentially would mean greater sales for U.S. suppliers, including small entities.

Many exports and reexports of the Category XIV or Category XVIII articles that would be added to the CCL by this rule (particularly, the “parts” and “components” that would be controlled under new ECCN 1A607.x, 1B607.x, or 6B619.x) would become eligible for license exceptions that apply to exports to U.S. Government agencies, exports of “parts” and “components” for use as replacement parts, temporary exports and limited value exports (for ECCN 1B607 and 6B619 items, only), as well as License Exception STA, thereby reducing the number of licenses that exporters of these items would need. License exceptions under the EAR would allow suppliers to send routine replacement parts and low level parts to NATO and other close allies and export control regime partners for use by those governments and for use by contractors building equipment for those governments or for the U.S. Government without having to obtain export licenses. Under License Exception STA, the exporter would need to furnish information about the item being exported to the consignee and obtain a statement from the consignee that, among other things, would commit the consignee to comply with the EAR and other applicable U.S. laws. Because such statements and obligations can apply to an unlimited number of transactions and have no expiration date, they would create a net reduction in burden on transactions that the government routinely approves through the license application process that the License Exception STA statements would replace.

Even for exports and reexports for which a license would be required, the process for obtaining a license would be simpler and less costly under the EAR. When a USML Category XIV or Category XVIII article is moved to the CCL, the number of destinations for which a license is required would remain unchanged. However, the burden on the license applicant would decrease because the licensing procedure for CCL items is simpler and more flexible than the licensing procedure for USML articles.

Under the USML licensing procedure, an applicant must include a purchase order or contract with its application. There is no such requirement under the CCL licensing procedure. This difference gives the CCL applicant at least two advantages. First, the applicant has a way to determine whether the U.S. Government will authorize the transaction before it enters into potentially lengthy, complex and expensive sales presentations or contract negotiations. Under the USML procedure, the applicant must caveat all sales presentations with a reference to the need for government approval, and is more likely to engage in substantial effort and expense only to find that the government will reject the application. Second, a CCL license applicant need not limit its application to the quantity or value of one purchase order or contract. It may apply for a license to cover all of its expected exports or reexports to a specified consignee over the life of a license (normally four years, but may be longer if circumstances warrant a longer period), thus reducing the total number of licenses for which the applicant must apply.

In addition, many applicants exporting or reexporting items that this rule proposes to transfer from the USML to the CCL would realize cost savings through the elimination of some or all registration fees currently assessed under the USML’s licensing procedure. Currently, USML applicants must pay to use the USML licensing procedure even if they never actually are authorized to export. Registration fees for manufacturers and exporters of articles on the USML start at $2,250 per year, increase to $2,750 for organizations applying for one to ten licenses per year and further increase to $2,750 plus $250 per license application (subject to a maximum of three per year or per application value) for those who need to apply for more than ten licenses per year. Conversely, there are no registration or application processing fees for applications to export items listed on the CCL. Once the Category XIV or Category XVIII items that are the subject to this rulemaking are removed from the USML and added to the CCL, entities currently applying for licenses from the Department of State would find their registration fees reduced if the number of USML licenses those entities need declines. If an entity’s entire product line is moved to the CCL, its ITAR registration and registration fee requirement would be eliminated.

Conclusion

BIS expects that the changes to the EAR proposed in this rule will have a positive effect on all affected entities, including small entities. While BIS acknowledges that this rule may have some cost impacts on small (and other) entities, those costs are more than offset by the benefits to the entities from the licensing procedures under the EAR, which are much less costly and less time consuming than the procedures under the ITAR. As noted above, any new burdens proposed by this rule would be offset by a reduction in the number of items that would require a license, increased opportunities for use of license exceptions for exports to certain countries, simpler export license applications, reduced or eliminated registration fees and application of a de minimis threshold for foreign-made items incorporating U.S.-origin parts
and components, all of which would reduce the incentive for foreign buyers to design out or avoid U.S.-origin content. Accordingly, the Chief Counsel for Regulation, Department of Commerce, has certified to the Chief Counsel for Advocacy, Small Business Administration, that this rule, if implemented, would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. Accordingly, an initial regulatory flexibility analysis is not required, and none has been prepared.

List of Subjects in 15 CFR Part 774

Exports, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

For the reasons stated in the preamble, part 774 of the Export Administration Regulations (15 CFR parts 730–774) is proposed to be amended as follows:

PART 774—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for 15 CFR part 774 continues to read as follows:


2. In Supplement No. 1 to part 774 (the Commerce Control List), Category 1—Special Materials and Related Equipment, Chemicals, “Microorganisms,” and “Toxins,” add a new ECCN 1A607 between ECCNs 1A290 and 1A613 to read as follows:

Supplement No. 1 to Part 774—the Commerce Control List

* * * * *

1A607 Military dissemination “equipment” for riot control agents, military detection and protection “equipment” for toxicological agents (including chemical, biological, and riot control agents), and related commodities (see List of Items Controlled).

License Requirements

Reason for Control: NS, RS, AT, UN

Control(s) | Country chart (see Supp. No. 1 to Part 738)
--- | ---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Control(s)</th>
<th>Country chart (see Supp. No. 1 to Part 738)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NS applies to entire entry.</td>
<td>NS Column 1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RS applies to entire entry.</td>
<td>RS Column 1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT applies to entire entry.</td>
<td>AT Column 1.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

List Based License Exceptions (See Part 740 for a Description of All License Exceptions)

- LVS: N/A
- GBS: N/A
- CIV: N/A

Special Conditions for STA

STA: Paragraph (c)(2) of License Exception STA § 740.20(c)(2) of the EAR may not be used for any item in 1A607.

List of Items Controlled

Related Controls:

- (1) Vaccines identified in ECCN 1C991 are not controlled by this ECCN. (2) See 22 CFR 121.1 (USML), Category XIV(h), for vaccines that are subject to the ITAR. (3) Protection and detection “equipment” and related items identified in ECCN 1A004, 1A995, or 2B351 are not controlled by this ECCN. (4) See 22 CFR 121.1 (USML), Category XIV(f), for dissemination, detection and protection “equipment” that is subject to the ITAR. (5) See ECCN 0A919 for foreign-made “military commodities” that incorporate more than a de minimis amount of US-origin “600 series” controlled content.

Related Definitions: N/A

Items:

- a. through d. [Reserved]
- e. “Equipment” “specially designed” for military use and for the dissemination of any of the riot control agents controlled in ECCN 1C607.a.
- f. Protection “equipment” (including air conditioning units and protective clothing): f.1. Not controlled by USML Category XIV(f); and f.2. “Specially designed” for military use and for defense against: f.2.1. Materials specified by USML Category XIV(a) or (b); or f.2.2. Riot control agents controlled in 1C607.a.
- g. Decontamination “equipment”: g.1. Not controlled by USML Category XIV(f); and g.2. “Specially designed” for military use and for decontamination of objects contaminated with materials controlled by USML Category XIV(a) or (b).
- h. “Equipment”: h.1. Not controlled by USML Category XIV(f); and h.2. “Specially designed” for military use and for the detection or identification of:

- Materials specified by USML Category XIV(a) or (b); or
- Riot control agents controlled by ECCN 1C607.a.
- [Reserved]
- “Equipment” “specially designed” to:
  - j.1. Interface with a detector, shelter, vehicle, vessel, or aircraft controlled by the USML or a “600 series” ECCN; and
  - j.2. Collect and process samples of articles controlled in USML Category XIV(a) or (b).
- k. Medical countermeasures that are “specially designed” for military use (including pre- and post-treatments, antidotes, and medical diagnostics) and “specially designed” to counter chemical agents controlled by the USML Category XIV(a).

Note: Examples of “equipment” controlled by this entry are barrier and non-barrier creams and filled autoinjection (e.g., combs where one injector contains 2–PAM and the other atropine) if “specially designed” to counter such agents.

1. through w. [Reserved]
- x. “Parts,” “components,” “accessories,” and “attachments” that are “specially designed” for a commodity controlled by ECCN 1A607.e, .f, .g, or .j or for a defense article controlled by USML Category XIV(f) and that are not enumerated or otherwise described elsewhere in the USML.

3. In Supplement No. 1 to part 774 (the Commerce Control List), Category 1—Special Materials and Related Equipment, Chemicals, “Microorganisms,” and “Toxins,” add a new ECCN 1B607 between ECCNs 1B234 and 1B608 to read as follows:

1B607 Military test, inspection, and production “equipment” and related commodities “specially designed” for the “development,” “production,” repair, overhaul, or refurbishing of commodities identified in ECCN 1A607 or 1C607, or defense articles enumerated or otherwise described in USML Category XIV (see List of Items Controlled).

License Requirements

Reason for Control: NS, RS, AT, UN

Control(s) | Country chart (see Supp. No. 1 to Part 738)
--- | ---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Control(s)</th>
<th>Country chart (see Supp. No. 1 to Part 738)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NS applies to entire entry.</td>
<td>NS Column 1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RS applies to entire entry.</td>
<td>RS Column 1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT applies to entire entry.</td>
<td>AT Column 1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UN applies to entire entry.</td>
<td>See § 746.1(b) for UN controls.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
List Based License Exceptions (See Part 740 for a Description of All License Exceptions)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Control(s)</th>
<th>Country chart</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NS applies to entire entry, except 1C607.a.10, .a.11, .a.12, and .a.14.</td>
<td>NS Column 1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RS applies to entire entry.</td>
<td>RS Column 1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT applies to entire entry.</td>
<td>AT Column 1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UN applies to entire entry.</td>
<td>See § 746.1(b) for UN controls.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

List of Items Controlled

Related Controls: (1) See ECCN 2B350 for controls on certain incinerators. (2) See ECCN A0919 for foreign-made ‘‘military commodities’’ that incorporate more than a de minimis amount of US-origin ‘‘600 series’’ controlled content.

Related Definitions: N/A

Items:

a. ‘‘Equipment’’ ‘‘specially designed’’ for the destruction of the chemical agents controlled by USML Category XIV(a).

b. Test facilities and ‘‘equipment’’ ‘‘specially designed’’ for military certification, qualification, or testing of commodities controlled by ECCN 1A607.e., .f., g., or j. or by USML Category XIV(f), except for XIV(f)(1).

c. Tooling and ‘‘equipment’’ ‘‘specially designed’’ for the ‘‘development,’’ ‘‘production,’’ repair, overhaul, or refurbishing of commodities controlled by ECCN 1A607.e., .f., g., or j. or USML Category XIV(f).

d. through w. [RESERVED]

Note to 1B607.a: ECCN 1B607.a includes controls over facilities ‘‘specially designed’’ for destruction operations. This paragraph a does not control incinerators and ‘‘specially designed’’ handling facilities or ‘‘specially designed’’ waste supply systems therefor.

b. ‘‘Biocatalysts,’’ ‘‘software’’ ‘‘specially designed’’ for military use for the decontamination of chemical warfare agents for personal self-defense purposes that are controlled by ECCN A984, or to active constituent chemicals, and combinations thereof, identified and packed for food production or medical purposes.

c. ‘‘Biocatalysts,’’ and biological systems therefor, not controlled by USML Category XIV(g) ‘‘specially designed’’ for the decontamination or degradation of chemical warfare agents controlled in USML Category XIV(a), as follows:

c.1. ‘‘Biocatalysts’’ ‘‘specially designed’’ for the decontamination or degradation of chemical warfare agents controlled in USML Category XIV(a) resulting from directed laboratory selection or genetic manipulation of biological systems;

c.2. Biological systems containing the genetic information specific to the production of ‘‘biocatalysts’’ specified by 1C607.c.1.

c.2.a. ‘‘Expression vectors’’;

c.2.b. ‘‘Viruses’’; or

c.2.c. ‘‘Cultures of cells.’’

Note to 1C607.a and .c: The cultures of cells and biological systems are exclusive and these sub-items do not apply to cells or biological systems for civil purposes, such as agricultural, pharmaceutical, medical, veterinary, environmental, waste management, or in the food industry.

d. Chemical mixtures not controlled by USML Category XIV(f) ‘‘specially designed’’ for military use for the decontamination of objects contaminated with materials specified by USML Category XIV(a) or (b).

4. In Supplement No. 1 to part 774 (the Commerce Control List), Category 1—Special Materials and Related Equipment, Chemicals, ‘‘Microorganisms,’’ and ‘‘Toxins,’’ add a new ECCN 1D607 between ECCNs 1D390 and 1D608 to read as follows:

1D607 “Software” ‘‘specially designed’’ for the ‘‘development,’’ ‘‘production,’’ operation, or maintenance of items controlled by 1A607, 1B607 or 1C607 (see List of Items Controlled).

License Requirements

Reason for Control: NS, RS, AT, UN

Control(s)                                                                 | Country chart                       |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NS applies to entire entry, except 1C607.a.10, .a.11, .a.12, and .a.14.</td>
<td>NS Column 1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RS applies to entire entry.</td>
<td>RS Column 1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT applies to entire entry.</td>
<td>AT Column 1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UN applies to entire entry.</td>
<td>See § 746.1(b) for UN controls.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
List Based License Exceptions (See Part 740 for a Description of All License Exceptions)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CIV</th>
<th>N/A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TSR</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Special Conditions for STA**

STA: Paragraph (c)(2) of License Exception STA (§ 740.20(c)(2) of the EAR) may not be used for any item in 1D607.

**List of Items Controlled**

**Related Controls:**

Related Controls: Technical data directly related to defense articles enumerated or otherwise described in USML Category XIV are subject to the ITAR (see 22 CFR 121.1, Category XIV(m)).

**Related Definitions:**

a. “Technology” “required” for the “development,” “production,” operation, installation, maintenance, repair, overhaul, or refurbishing of items controlled by ECCN 1A607, 1B607, 1C607 or 1D607.

b. [RESERVED]

c. Tooling, templates, jigs, mandrels, molds, dies, fixtures, alignment mechanisms, and test “equipment” not enumerated or otherwise described in USML Category XVIII.

d. [RESERVED]

**Test, inspection, and production “equipment” and related commodities:**

**Related Definitions:**

a. “Technology” “required” for the “development,” “production,” operation, installation, maintenance, repair, overhaul, or refurbishing of items controlled by ECCN 1A607, 1B607, 1C607 or 1D607.

- acl.500
- N/A

**Reason for Control:**

| NS | AT | UN |

| Reason for Control | NS | AT | UN |

| Control(s) | NS Column 1. | AT Column 1. |

| Reason for Control | NS | AT | UN |

| Control(s) | NS Column 1. | AT Column 1. |

| License Requirements | NS | AT | UN |

| Reason for Control | NS | AT | UN |

| Control(s) | NS Column 1. | AT Column 1. |

| License Exceptions | N/A |

| Reason for Control | NS | AT | UN |

| Control(s) | NS Column 1. | AT Column 1. |

| License Exceptions | N/A |

| Reason for Control | NS | AT | UN |

| Control(s) | NS Column 1. | AT Column 1. |

| License Exceptions | N/A |

Special Conditions for STA

STA: Paragraph (c)(2) of License Exception STA (§ 740.20(c)(2) of the EAR) may not be used for any item in 6B619.

**List of Items Controlled**

**Related Controls:**

Related Controls: “Parts, “components,” “accessories,” “attachments,” and associated systems or “equipment” “specially designed” for defense articles enumerated or otherwise described in paragraphs (a) or (b) of USML Category XVIII are subject to the ITAR (see 22 CFR 121.1, Category XVIII(e)).

**Related Definitions:**

a. Tooling, templates, jigs, mandrels, molds, dies, fixtures, alignment mechanisms, and test “equipment” not enumerated or otherwise described in USML Category XVIII.

b. [RESERVED]

c. Tooling, templates, jigs, mandrels, molds, dies, fixtures, alignment mechanisms, and test “equipment” not enumerated or otherwise described in USML Category XVIII.

- acl.500
- N/A

| Reason for Control | NS | AT | UN |

| Control(s) | NS Column 1. | AT Column 1. |

| Reason for Control | NS | AT | UN |

| Control(s) | NS Column 1. | AT Column 1. |

| License Requirements | NS | AT | UN |

| Reason for Control | NS | AT | UN |

| Control(s) | NS Column 1. | AT Column 1. |

| License Exceptions | N/A |

| Reason for Control | NS | AT | UN |

| Control(s) | NS Column 1. | AT Column 1. |

| License Exceptions | N/A |

Special Conditions for STA

STA: Paragraph (c)(2) of License Exception STA (§ 740.20(c)(2) of the EAR) may not be used for any item in 6B619.

**List of Items Controlled**

**Related Controls:**

Related Controls: “Software” directly related to articles enumerated or otherwise described in USML Category XVIII is subject to the ITAR (see 22 CFR 121.1, Category XVIII(f)).

| Reason for Control | NS | AT | UN |

| Control(s) | NS Column 1. | AT Column 1. |

| Reason for Control | NS | AT | UN |

| Control(s) | NS Column 1. | AT Column 1. |
SUMMARY: As part of the President’s Export Control Reform effort, the Department of State proposes to amend the International Traffic in Arms Regulations (ITAR) to revise Categories XIV (toxicological agents, including chemical agents, biological agents, and associated equipment) and XVIII (directed energy weapons) of the U.S. Munitions List (USML) to describe more precisely the articles warranting control on the USML. The revisions contained in this rule are part of the Department of State’s retrospective plan under E.O. 13563 completed on August 17, 2011. The Department of State’s full plan can be accessed at http://www.state.gov/ documents/organization/181028.pdf.

DATES: The Department of State will accept comments on this proposed rule until August 17, 2015.

ADDRESSES: Interested parties may submit comments within 60 days of the date of publication by one of the following methods:

- Email: DDTCPublicComments@state.gov with the subject line, “ITAR Amendment—Categories XIV and XVIII.”
- Internet: At www.regulations.gov, search for this proposed rule by using this rule’s RIN (1400–AD03).

Comments received after that date will be considered if feasible, but consideration cannot be assured. Those submitting comments should not include any personally identifying information they do not wish to be made public or information for which a claim of confidentiality is asserted because those comments and/or transmittal emails will be made available for public inspection and copying after the close of the comment period via the Directorate of Defense Trade Controls Web site at www.pmddtc.state.gov. Parties who wish to comment anonymously may do so by submitting their comments via www.regulations.gov, leaving the fields that would identify the commenter blank and including no identifying information in the comment itself. Comments submitted via www.regulations.gov are immediately available for public inspection.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. C. Edward Peartree, Director, Office of Defense Trade Controls Policy, Department of State, telephone (202) 663–2792; email DDTCPublicComments@state.gov.

ATTN: ITAR Amendment—USML Categories XIV and XVIII.

DEPARTMENT OF STATE

22 CFR Part 121

RIN 1400–AD03

[Public Notice: 9166]

Amendment to the International Traffic in Arms Regulations: Revision of U.S. Munitions List Categories XIV and XVIII

AGENCY: Department of State.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

Reason for Control: NS, RS, AT, UN

Concerning the United States Munitions List (USML) and the Commerce Control List (CCL), Category XVI (Directed Energy Weapons) and Category XVIII (Chemical and Biological Weapons) are subject to the export control jurisdiction of the International Traffic in Arms Regulations (ITAR). The Department of State proposes to amend the International Traffic in Arms Regulations (ITAR) (22 CFR parts 120–130) to revise Categories XIV (toxicological agents, including chemical agents, biological agents, and associated equipment) and XVIII (directed energy weapons) of the U.S. Munitions List (USML) to describe more precisely the articles warranting control on the USML. The Department of State’s full plan can be accessed at http://www.state.gov/documents/organization/181028.pdf.

Dated: June 9, 2015.

Kevin J. Wolf,
Assistant Secretary for Export Administration.
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